

## **REMARKS**

### **Claim Status**

This is intended to be a complete response to the Office Action mailed May 24, 2007 in which claims 1-10 and 38-48 were allowed and claims 55-57 were rejected. Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating that claims 1-10 and 38-48 are allowable. In order to expedite prosecution, Applicant has cancelled claims 55-57. In this response, no additional change has been made to claims 1-10 and 38-48.

### **Support for the Amendments**

Support for all claim amendments from USPN 6,357,158 are listed as following:

Claim 3 is amended to correct a redundancy in grammar and make the language consistent with what is previously used in claim 2. No support is needed for correcting a grammatical error.

Claim 5 is amended to provide proper antecedent basis and support for the amendment can be found in claim 1 and column 8, lines 49-52 of the specification.

Claims 6 and 7 are amended to provide units of measurement (inches of subtention at 100 yards) and support for these amendments can be found in column 8, lines 49-52 of the specification.

Claims 8-10 are amended to correctly present antecedent basis. Support for the amendments can be found in original claim 1 and column 8, lines 31-38 of the specification.

Support for new claims 38-40 can be found in column 8, lines 58-60 of the specification.

New claim 41 incorporates and combines the limitations of originally issued claims 1, 9 and 10 and support can be found in column 3, line 65 to column 4, line 12 and in column 8, lines 58-65 of the specification.

New claim 42 incorporates the limitations of originally issued claim 2 and support for can be found in column 8, lines 38-39 of the specification.

Support for new claim 43 can be found in column 8, lines 39-44 of the specification.

Support for new claims 44-45 can be found in column 8, lines 45-48 of the specification.

Support for new claim 46 can be found in column 8, lines 51-52 of the specification.

Support for new claim 47 can be found in column 8, lines 55-57 of the specification.

New claim 48 incorporates the limitations of originally issued claim 8 and support for can be found in column 8, lines 58-60 of the specification.

#### **Applicant's Response to 35 U.S.C. § 112 Rejections**

In the office action, the Examiner rejected claims 55-57 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Claims 55-57 are cancelled herein.

#### **Applicant's Response to 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Rejections**

In the office action, the Examiner rejected claims 55-57 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rubbert in view of Bindon (US Patent No. 5,065,519). Claims 55-57 are cancelled herein.

## CONCLUSION

In view of the above, Applicant respectfully suggests all pending claims are now in condition for allowance and requests issuance of a Notice of Allowance thereof. In the event that there are any outstanding issues creating an impediment to a Notice of Allowance, the Applicant's undersigned attorney urges the Examiner to initiate a teleconference to resolve such issues in an expedited manner.

Respectfully submitted,



Barbara K. Yuill, Reg. No. 54,562  
DUNLAP CODDING, P.C.  
P.O. Box 16370  
Oklahoma City, OK 73113  
Telephone: (405) 607-8600  
Facsimile: (405) 607-8686  
Attorney for Applicant